cf 1095 (3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION No. 1023 OF 2002

BETWEEN

1. Ashok Singh Chandel S/o Raja Bhaiya Singh, aged about 53 years, occupation Assistant Accountant, Zila Sahakari Kendriya Bank Maryadit, Head Office Bilaspur (CG).

2. Rajendra Kumar Sharma S/o Ramadhar Pandey, aged about 53 yars, occupation Assistant Accountant , Zila Sahakari Kendriya Bank Maryadit, Head Office, Bilaspur (CG).

PETITIONERS

A ND

Zila Sahakari Kendriya Bank Maryadit, Head Office, Bilaspur, Through its General Manager.

RESPONDENT

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

W. No. 99-S/02
Presented by Shri Sahu
dated 08-05-02

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(30)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP No. 1023/02 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

10-01-2003

Shri Rakesh Anthony, counsel for the petitioner.

Heard.

The grievance of the writ petitioner is to the inaction on the part of the respondent-Bank in not paying him salary in the same scale as has been paid to Radheshyam Singh & Narayan Singh Sengar. According to the petitioner, his case is similar to Radheshyam Singh, who has obtained an order in his favour from the Court of Deputy Registrar, Shahkari Sansthayan Bilaspur in Case No.8/64/98 arising out of a dispute under Section 64 read with Section 55(2) of M.P. Sahakarita Adhiniyam, 1960. The petitioner, it is stated, has submitted a representation before the concerned authority, which having not been considered, he has approached this Court for relief.

It is not disputed that the petitioner has a statutory remedy under the said Adhiniyam and that the revision of pay scale of Radheshyam Singh was by virtue of an order passed by the Statutory Authority. That apart the said Statutory Authority will be in a position to appreciate the factual aspects, which may be involved in the matter.

[पीछे देखिये

आदेश पत्रक (पुर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

In the above view of the matter, the Court is not inclined to entertain this writ petition at this stage. If so advised, the petitioner may approach to appropriate Statutory Authority under Section 64 read with Section 55(2) of M.P. Sahakarita Adhiniyam, 1960 and if such a dispute is raised before the said authority, it will try and dispose it of on its own merits at an early date.

With the above observations and directions, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently I.A. No.3264/2002 for urgent hearing also stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per Rules.

Sd/-
P.C. Naik
Judge

Roshan

GRPRJ—FS/311—1/2001—50,000.